

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/056,790	01/23/2002	Mario N. Lioubin	EX02-004C	1603	
23500 .759	90 01/09/2004	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
JAN P. BRUN	ELLE	YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H			
EXELIXIS, INC					
170 HARBOR V	WAY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. BOX 511			1642		
SOUTH SAN F	RANCISCO, CA 94083	-0511	DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004	Į.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,								
	. :	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	-			
	Office Action Summany	10/056	5,790	LIOUBIN ET AL				
Office Action Summary		Exami	· .	Art Unit				
			pher H Yaen	1642				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	inication appears on	the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this conperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for repepty received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply an bly will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a restatutory minimum of thirty d will expire SIX (6) MONT application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication	n.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>27 October 2</u>	<u>003</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>8-12</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>8-12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restr	are withdrawn from						
	on Papers			,				
9)	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ard	e: a) <mark>□</mark> accepted or	b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any ob-	ection to the drawing(s	s) be held in abeyan	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	•	_,		d).			
	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priorit		_	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
* <i>c</i>	2. Certified copies of the priorit3. Copies of the certified copiesapplication from the Internat	y documents have b s of the priority docu ional Bureau (PCT F	een received in Ar ments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage				
13)⊠ A si 3	See the attached detailed Office act acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included TCFR 1.78.	for domestic priority led in the first senter	under 35 U.S.C. some of the specifical	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applicat tion or in an Application Data Sho				
) The translation of the foreign la		• •	·				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first se							
Attachmen	t(s)	*		•				
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	•		ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/056,790 Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed 10/27/2003 is acknowledged and entered into the record.

- 2. Claims 1-7 and 13-51 are canceled.
- 3. Claims 8-12 are pending and examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections Maintained - 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph

4. The rejection of claims 8-12 under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph as being indefinite is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicant argues that the specification provides clear indication the term "RRP" is intended to refer to a Rhomboid Related Protein.

Moreover, applicant states that because the RRPs are characterized in the specification by sequence identification numbers, the there is clear indication to one of skill in the art that RRP is intended to refer only to Rhomboid Related Proteins. Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered but are not found persuasive because others, as evidenced by Salvo *et al* and Barg *et al*, have also defined RRPs. Because the skilled artisan cannot determine which "RRP" is intended to be encompassed with the scope of the claims, and because there are other names or meanings for the term "RRP", it is ambiguous and indefinite. As such, there is no clear distinction as to which RRP is being claimed by the applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph

5. The rejection of claims 8-12 under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph as lacking proper written description for all RRPs is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicant

Application/Control Number: 10/056,790

Art Unit: 1642

argues that the amendment to the claims to refer specifically to RRP1-8 and mouse RRP1 overcomes the rejection of record. Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered but are not found persuasive. In order to fulfill the requirements of written description, the specification as originally filed must convey to one of skill in the art the applicant was in possession of the instantly claimed invention. As provided in the last office action, other have discovered or characterized RRP proteins such as Szakmary et al and Savino et al, both of which specifically characterized a RRP1 protein. It does not appear that the specification has described a RRP protein with the same characteristics and as such is not entitled to the broad scope of RRPs, especially RRP-1. There has been no structurally defined characteristics associated with the RRPs so that one of skill in the art would be able to practice the invention commensurate in scope to the claims. The Guidelines for the Examination of Patent Applications Under the 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 1 "Written Description" Requirement make clear that the written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice, reduction to drawings, or by disclosure of relevant, identifying characteristics, i.e., structure or other physical and or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show the applicant was in possession of the genus (Federal Register, Vol. 66, No. 4, pages 1099-1111, Friday January 5, 2001, see especially page 1106 3rd column).

Application/Control Number: 10/056,790

Art Unit: 1642

Applicant was not in possession of the instant claimed invention. See Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly and Co. 119 F.3d 1559, 43 USPQ2d 1398 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Adequate written description of genetic material "'requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula, chemical name, or physical properties,' not a mere wish or plan for obtaining the claimed chemical invention." Id. 43 USPQ2d at 1404 (quoting Fiers, 984 F.2d at 1171, 25 USPQ2d at 1606). The disclosure must allow one skilled in the art to visualize or recognize the identity of the subject matter of the claim. Id. 43 USPQ2d at 1406. A description of what the genetic material does, rather than of what it is, does not suffice. Id.

Conclusion

6. No claim is allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1642

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher H Yaen whose telephone number is 703-305-3586. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1642 December 20, 2003

ANTANNY C. CAPUTA
ANTANNY C. CAPUTA
TECHNOSORY PATENT
COUT HEROED YBOLONKOST